

REMARKS

The undersigned attorney and the Examiner conducted various brief teleconferences during the month of August, 2006, and during a particular interview on August 24, 2006, the Examiner indicated that claims directed toward the 2,6-diethyl-4-(dimethylaminomethyl)phenol and 2,6-dimethyl-4-(dimethylaminomethyl)phenol compounds would be allowable. In this respect, independent claims 1 and 29 have been amended.

SPECIFICATION

In the Office Action dated April 27, 2006, the Examiner objected to the disclosure because the exact line location of entry of the amendment had been omitted. Also, the amendment included a typographical error. This amendment includes the proper line number and has corrected the typographical error.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claim 1 has been objected to because of the presence of a formula, which was not needed. Claim 1 has been amended to correct this informality.

Claim 5 was objected to; cancellation of this claim has rendered this objection moot.

Claims 25 and 33 were objected to because of word choice; these claims have been amended in a manner suggested by the Examiner.

REJECTIONS UNDER U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 5, and 23-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In response, claims 1 and 29 have been amended by removing recitation to the specific amount of antioxidant present. Claims 5, 23, and 24 have been cancelled. Claim 27 has been amended. Claim 28 has been cancelled. Claims 30, 31, and 32 have been cancelled. Claim 35 has been amended. Applicants believe that claims 26-27, and 34-36 are supported by inclusion of subject matter that had been properly incorporated by reference.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments presented herein, the Applicants believe that they have properly set forth the invention and accordingly, respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the rejections provided in the last Office Action. A formal Notice of Allowance of claims 1, 25-27, 29, and 33-36 is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner care to discuss any of the foregoing in greater detail, the undersigned attorney would welcome a telephone call. This Response is being filed contemporaneously with a two month extension of time.

No new claims have been added and therefore no additional fees are believed due at this time. Nonetheless, in the event that a fee required for the filing of this document is missing or insufficient, the undersigned attorney hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-0925.

Respectfully submitted,



Arthur M. Reginelli, Reg. No. 40,139
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber
Fourth Floor, First National Tower
Akron, Ohio 44308-1456
Telephone: (330) 376-1242
Attorney for Applicants

September 20, 2006